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## Risk factors

- The factors that are influencing or are associated with the risk of causing adverse effects (e.g. Infection) in specific subpopulations, or that are protective («protective factors») like vaccination.
- The identification of such risk factors may derive from different sources:
- Epidemiological studies (e.g. cross sectional, case-control, cohort studies)
- Experts opinion (when gaps in docuemnted knowledge exists)
- Risk assessment studies (to provide more precise estimation of the risk for each subpopulation)


## Identification of Risk Factors

## Epidemiological studies are conducted to identify risk factors using the several measures (strength of association).

- these allow quantifying the consequences from exposure to a risk factor, and are used to predict, quantify the effect of prevention and to plan control programs


## Prevalence and risk factors of bovine tuberculosis in dairy cattle in Eritrea

Michael K. Ghebremariam ${ }^{1,2^{*}}$, V. P. M. G Rutten ${ }^{1,3}$, J. C. M. Vernooij ${ }^{4}$, K. Uqbazghi ${ }^{5}$, T. Tesfaalem ${ }^{6}$, T. Butsuamlak ${ }^{7}$, A. M. Idris ${ }^{8}$, M. Nielen ${ }^{4}$ and A. L. Michel ${ }^{3}$

Table 3 Model 1 'Physiological status' and 'region' as potential risk factors for reactivity in the comparative tuberculin test on positive herds with $\geq 5$ tested animals ( 4,776 observations within 344 positive herds) and animals tested, number and proportion of positive reactors in all positive farms ( 5269 observation). Estimated variance for herd: 1.118 on the logit scale

| Physiological status and region | OR | $95 \%$ confidence interval |  | Number and \% tested and \% positive reactors in positive farms |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Lower bound | Upper bound | Tested | \% tested | \% positive reactors |
| Calf (reference) | 1.0 |  |  | 1613 | 30.6 | 14.6 |
| Bull | 5.2 | 3.3 | 8.3 | 147 | 2.8 | 30.6 |
| Heifer empty | 2.5 | 1.8 | 3.5 | 533 | 10.1 | 22.1 |
| Heifer pregnant | 5.8 | 4.2 | 8.1 | 460 | 8.7 | 34.4 |
| Lactating empty | 8.2 | 6.3 | 10.6 | 996 | 18.9 | 43.9 |
| Lactating pregnant | 10.8 | 8.4 | 13.9 | 1191 | 22.6 | 46.9 |
| Dry pregnant | 10.2 | 7.0 | 14.8 | 329 | 6.2 | 42.3 |
| Anseba region (reference) | 1.0 |  |  | 397 | 7.5 | 1.76 |
| Debub region | 8.5 | 1.9 | 37.1 | 1647 | 31.30 | 30.12 |
| Maekel region | 13.0 | 3.0 | 46.1 | 3225 | 61.21 | 36.84 |

## Relative Measures of Association

These are ratio measures:

## $>$ Risk Ratio (RR)

## $>$ Incidence Rate Ratio (IR)

## $>$ Odds Ratio (OR)

Use depends on study design and appropriate measure of disease frequency

## The $2 \times 2$ table

|  | Exposure + <br> (risk factor present) | Exposure - <br> (risk factor absent) | TOTAL |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| D+ (diseased <br> animals) | a | c | $\mathrm{a}+\mathrm{c}$ |
| D- (non-diseased <br> animals) | b | d | $\mathrm{b}+\mathrm{d}$ |
| TOTAL | $\mathrm{a}+\mathrm{b}$ | $\mathrm{c}+\mathrm{d}$ |  |

We'll come back to this time and time again.....

## Relative Measures of Association

Range: 0 to infinity
If $\mathbf{R R}(\mathrm{OR})(\mathrm{IR})=1$ : risk(odds) (rate) in exposed is equal to risk in non-exposed
i.e. no association

If $R R(O R)$ (IR) $>1$ : risk (odds) (rate) in exposed is greater than risk in non-exposed
i.e. positive association, possibly causal

If RR (OR) (IR) < 1 : risk (odds) (rate) in exposed is less than risk in non-exposed
i.e. negative association, possibly protective

## Risk Ratio (Relative Risk) (RR)

- A risk ratio (RR), also called relative risk, compares the risk of a health event (disease, injury, risk factor, or death) among one group with the risk among another group. It does so by dividing the risk (incidence proportion, attack rate) in group 1 by the risk (incidence proportion, attack rate) in group 2.

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\mathrm{RR}=\frac{\text { Risk in the exposed group }}{\text { Risk in the unexposed group }} \\
\mathrm{RR}=(\mathrm{a} / \mathrm{a}+\mathrm{b}) /(\mathrm{c} / \mathrm{c}+\mathrm{d})
\end{array}
$$

## EXAMPLE: Calculating Risk Ratio

- In an outbreak of LSD in Bulgaria in 2016, LSD was diagnosed in 18 of 152 vaccinated cows compared with 3 of 7 unvaccinated cows.
Calculate the risk ratio.

|  | Vaccinated | Unvaccinated |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LSD | 18 | 3 | 21 |
| Non-case | 134 | 4 | 138 |
|  | 152 | 7 | 159 |



## EXAMPLE: Calculating Risk Ratios

Risk of LSD among vaccinated cows $=18 / 152=0.118=11.8 \%$
Risk of LCD among unvaccinated cows $=3 / 7=0.429=42.9 \%$

Risk ratio $=0.118 / 0.429=0.28$

The risk ratio is less than 1.0, indicating a decreased risk or protective effect for the exposed (vaccinated) cows.
The risk ratio of 0.28 indicates that vaccinated cows were only approximately one-fourth as likely ( $28 \%$, actually) to develop LSD as were unvaccinated cows.

## Incidence Rate Ratio (IR)

- A incidence rate ratio compares the incidence rates, person-time rates, or mortality rates of two groups.

$$
\mathrm{IR}=\frac{\text { Incidence rate in the exposed group }}{\text { Incidence rate in the unexposed group }}
$$

## EXAMPLE: Calculating IR

A cohort study to look at the association between pre-dipping (exposure) and mastitis (disease)

|  | Pre-dipping | No pre-dipping |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. Cases <br> mastitis | 8 | 18 | 26 |
| No. Cow- <br> months (i.e. <br> time at risk) | 236 | 250 | 236 |



## EXAMPLE: Calculating IR

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{IR} \quad & =(\mathrm{a} / \mathrm{b}) /(\mathrm{c} / \mathrm{d}) \\
& =(8 / 236) /(18 / 250) \\
& =0.03 / 0.07 \\
& =0.4
\end{aligned}
$$


i.e. the rate of mastitis in pre-dipped cows is 0.4 times the rate of mastitis in not pre-dipped cows

## Odds ratio (OR)

- An odds ratio (OR) is another a measure of association used in comparative studies, particularly case-control studies, that quantifies the association between an exposure and a health outcome.

$$
O R=\frac{\begin{array}{c}
\text { Odds of disease in the } \\
\text { exposed group }
\end{array}}{\begin{array}{c}
\text { Odds of disease in the } \\
\text { unexposed group }
\end{array}}
$$

$$
O R=\frac{(a)}{b} \frac{(c)}{d}=a d / b c
$$

## EXAMPLE: Calculating Odds Ratio

A case-control study to look at the association between physiological status and reacting to tuberculin testing in cows


|  | Lactating pregnant | Dry pregnant |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reacting + | 60 | 157 | 217 |
| Reacting - | 41 | 359 | 400 |
|  | 101 | 516 | 617 |

## EXAMPLE: Calculating Odds Ratios

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { OR } & =A D / B C \\
& =(60 * 359) /(41 * 157) \\
& =21540 / 6437 \\
& =3.3
\end{aligned}
$$

i.e. the odds of positive reactors to tuberculin testing in lactating pregnant are 3.3 times the odds of positive reactors to tuberculin testing in dry pregnant

## Prevalence and Risk Factors of Mastitis in Lactating Dairy Cows in Southern Ethiopia

Demelash Biffa, DVM<br>Etana Debela, MVs<br>Fekadu Beyene, PhD<br>Awassa College of Agriculture,

Table 2. Prevalence of mastitis in milking cows in Southern Ethiopian as influenced by breed, stage of lactation, age, and parity.

| Risk Factors No. | Examined | CM | SCM | Total | $\chi^{2}$ | OR (95\% CI) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Breed |  |  | 47.5* |  |  |  |
| Local zebu | 446 | 21 (4.7) | 117 (26.2) | 138 (30.9) |  | 1.2 (1.0-1.5) |
| Zebu $\times$ Holstein-Fresian | 259 | 35 (13.5) | 38 (14.7) | 73 (28.2) |  | 1.0 |
| Holstein-Fresian | 186 | 49 (26.3) | 56 (30.1) | 105 (56.5) |  | 3.3 (2.5-4.4) |
| Jersey | 83 | 11 (13.3) | 13 (15.7) | 24 (28.9) |  | 1.0 |
| Lactation Stage |  |  |  |  | 28.0* |  |
| Early | 214 | 64 (29.9) | 34 (15.9) | 98 (45.8) |  | 2.4 (1.8-3.2) |
| Mid | 403 | 31 (7.7) | 73 (18.1) | 104 (25.8) |  | 1.0 |
| Late | 357 | 20 (5.6) | 118 (33.1) | 138 (38.7) |  | 1.8 (1.5-2.2) |
| Age |  |  |  |  | $30.3 *$ |  |
| Young adults | 326 | 53 (16.3) | 24 (7.4) | 77 (23.6) |  | 1.0 |
| Adults | 399 | 48 (12.1) | 104 (26.1) | 152 (38.1) |  | 2.0 (1.6-2.4) |
| Old | 249 | 15 (6.0) | 96 (38.6) | 111 (44.6) |  | 2.6 (2.0-3.4) |
| Parity |  |  |  |  | $124.9^{*}$ |  |
| Few | 328 | 25 (7.6) | 12 (3.7) | 37 (11.3) |  | 1.0 |
| Moderate | 331 | 31 (9.4) | 74 (22.4) | 105 (31.7) |  | 3.6 (2.9-4.6) |
| Many | 315 | 60 (19.0) | 138 (43.8) | 198 (62.9) |  | 12.8 (10.7-16.9) |

$C M=$ clinical mastitis, $S C M=$ subclinical mastitis, $O R=$ odds ratio.
Numbers in parenthesis indicate percentage.
*P $<0.001$ (highly significant).

## Further remarks on measures of association

|  | Cross- <br> sectional | Cohort | Case-control |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{R R}$ | X | X |  |
| IR |  | X |  |
| OR | X | X | X |
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